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## Overarching Questions

How do home language practices shift after children start school? What contextual factors are associated with changes in Spanish and English use?



Spanish-Speaking•Fastest-growing group of school-aged children in the Dual Language Learners United States

- Varied immigration histories, social circumstances, and cultural practices, including language practices 2018; López \& Foster, 2021; U.S. Census Bureau, 2019


## Diversity in DLLs' home language practices

- Spanish-English DLLs vary in the degree to which they use Spanish with Spanish-speaking parents
- In previous studies, on average, DLL children...
- ...preferred to use English with bilingual parents (López et al., 2020)
- ...increased in their English use over time (Hammer et al., 2011)
- But these average trends may obscure distinct language-use trajectories among DLLs
- Luo et al. (2020) used group-based trajectory modeling to identify different parent profiles of change in Spanish and English use
- The current study uses a similar approach to identify different profiles of change among DLL children


## Conceptual Framework


"Humans develop through their changing participation in the sociocultural activities of their communities, which also change." (Rogoff, 2004)

- Culture permeates all aspects of life including parent-child interactions
- Culture is a diversifying force rather than a homogenizing force
- The current study looks at how children change in their participation in parentchild book sharing interactions over time, and explores factors related to different language-use trajectories


## Research Questions

1. What distinct profiles can be identified in the languageuse trajectories of DLLs in the 2 years spanning school entry?
2. What contextual factors are associated with membership in each profile?

## Method: 35 Spanish-speaking parent-child dyads in the Boston area



- $31 \%$ self-identified as bilingual in Spanish and English


## Method: Study Design and Procedures



- Video-recorded parent-child interactions with a wordless picture book
- Transcribed in CLAN
- Word types per minute in Spanish \& English, child-initiated code-switching
- Parent interview, child vocabulary assessment in Spanish \& English (CELF-P2)


## Analytic Approach

- Group-based trajectory model using the Stata traj plugin
- Independent variable: child age (centered)
- Dependent variables: child Spanish types/min, child English types / min
- Full-information maximum likelihood (FIML)
- $n=32$ with 2 or 3 waves
- Evaluated fit:
- BIC/AIC, posterior probabilities (> .9), sample size per group
- Resulting groups compared on:
- Parent and child demographics and language history
- Characteristics of parent and child language use

RQ1: What distinct profiles can be identified in the language-use trajectories of DLLs in the 2 years spanning school entry?

## Model Selection

|  |  | \% Assigned to each group |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. of Groups | BIC | AIC | Group 1 | Group 2 | Group 3 |
| 1 | -506.55 | -501.42 | $100 \%$ | na | na |
| 2 | -451.48 | -441.22 | $59 \%$ | $\mathbf{4 1 \%}$ | na |
| 3 | -463.61 | -448.22 | $59 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $0 \%$ |

## Trajectories of Spanish and English use by group



High-increasing Spanish use \& low-stable English use


Variable Spanish \& increasing English use

## Spanish and English word types for 2 trajectory groups

|  | Group 1. High- <br> increasing Spanish use, <br> low-stable English use | Group 2. Variable <br> Spanish use, <br> increasing English use | Comparing <br> groups <br> (2-tailed t-test) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Posterior probability | .98 | .95 |  |
| Percentage | $59 \%$ | $41 \%$ |  |
| Spanish types; M(SD) | 19 | 13 |  |
| Wave 1 | $10.59(5.89)$ | $6.23(2.53)$ | ${ }^{*} p=.0180$ |
| Wave 2 | $13.29(4.77)$ | $8.61(6.18)$ | ${ }^{*} p=.0219$ |
| Wave 3 | $14.77(8.09)$ | $7.87(6.00)$ | ${ }^{*} p=.0325$ |
| English types; M(SD) |  |  |  |
| Wave 1 | $.26(.31)$ | $1.93(.99)$ | ${ }^{* * * p<.0001}$ |
| Wave 2 | $.62(.62)$ | $4.94(3.90)$ | ${ }^{* * * p<.0001}$ |
| Wave 3 | $.54(.57)$ | $5.84(5.40)$ | ${ }^{* *} p=.0013$ |

RQ2: What contextual factors are associated with membership in each profile?

The University of Texas

## Do profiles differ by demographics or language history?



Parent years since immigration to U.S.


The University of Texas

## Do profiles differ by parent or child language use?

## Parent Language

Parent Spanish
word types/ min


Parent Mean Length of Utterance


Child Language
Child Spanish Exp.
Vocab. raw score


Child-initiated CodeSwitches to English


## A tale of two children: Samuel and Deisy

## Samuel

- Has twin brother
- Mom from

Colombia has lived in U.S. for 11 years

- Dad from U.K but also lived in Colombia
- Both parents are bilingual and use Spanish with children
- Grandma from Colombia lived with family
- PK at an Englishonly Montessori school




## Deisy

- Has older siblings who use only English
- Mom from Mexico has lived in U.S. for 20 years
- Dad from El Salvador
- Mom is bilingual, dad is more dominant in Spanish
- PK at an Englishonly Head Start, K at English-only charter school


## Group 1 Example: Samuel



Age: 3;0
*PAR: ay, quién se quedó?
*PAR: shh!
*PAR: mira.
*PAR: quién está haciendo shh?
*PAR: alguien se quedó del tren, amor.
*PAR: quién es?
*CHI: el mico. (the monkey)
*PAR: pero mira el mico pícaro qué está haciendo?
*PAR: shh!
*CHI: shh!

## -



## Age: 3;10

*PAR: es un mico pícaro.
*CHI: ya se bajó. (he already got off.) *PAR: aquí mira él estaba aquí con la familia.
*PAR: quieres verla?
*CHI: mhm.
*PAR: míralo aquí está el mico?
*CHI: y de repente se bajó? (and he suddenly got off?)


Age: 5;4
*PAR: mira cómo se subió el mico aquí?
*CHI: porque los micos son muy buenos escaladores. (because monkeys are very good climbers.) *PAR: es un buen escala(dor) +/.
*CHI: primero estaba aquí y subió. (he was here first and then he climbed up.) *CHI: y llegó aquí. (and he got here.)
*PAR: y el viejo cómo estaba?
*CHI: triste. (sad.)
*PAR: triste.

## Group 2 Example: Deisy



Age: 4;6
*PAR: oh mira y se quedó con el payasito!
*PAR: quién es él?
*CHI: monkey.
*PAR: sí un monito ve.
*PAR: y se quedó feliz.


Age: 5;4
*PAR: mire se quedó triste el señor granjero.
*CHI: sí, and the monkey.
*PAR: sí.
*CHI: the monkey...
*PAR: y?
*PAR: se quedó dijo.
*PAR: silencio!
*PAR: que no se diera cuenta que se quedó con él.
*CHI: sí.
*PAR: sí?
*PAR: y qué pasó?
*CHI: the end.

Age: 6;10
*CHI: the monkey.
*CHI: went around and the farmer's just sitting.
*PAR: está descansando mira.
*CHI: oh the monkey's on the roof!
*PAR: mhm.
*CHI: how'd he got up there?
*PAR: mhm.
*CHI: and the farmer goes in the house.

## Contributions, Limitations, and Future Directions

- Culture matters
- Children born in the U.S. to parents who lived in the U.S. for longer were more likely to increase in their English use with Spanish-speaking parents
- This mattered more than measures of current exposure to Spanish and English at home and school
- Language-use trajectories emerge early
- By PK entry at age 3-4, children with smaller Spanish vocabularies and who code-switched to English more frequently were more likely to be in the increasing English profile
- Limitations: small sample, only looked at booksharing context, minimal measures of school language environment
- Next steps: Sequential analysis of parent-child interactions in this data (ISB14 in Sydney), trajectory modeling with a larger dataset
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## Descriptive statistics：Language use at each wave



Mean Total Word Types<br>ーローロー・Mean Spanish Word Types<br>

|  | Wave 1 |  | Wave 2 |  | Wave 3 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Mean | SD | Mean | SD | Mean | SD |
|  | 9.75 | 4.98 | 13.77 | 5.14 | 14.65 | 7.02 |
| Total word types | 8.82 | 5.23 | 11.39 | 5.78 | 11.9 | 7.95 |
| Spanish word types | 8.94 | 1.06 | 2.38 | 3.28 | 2.75 | 4.32 |

## Stata script and output

## . traj, multgroups(2) varl(types_spa1CHI_min types_spa2CHI_min types_spa3CHI_min) ///

 indepl(w1_childage_ctr w2_childage_ctr w3_childage_ctr) modeli(cnorm) /// min1(0) maxl(30) order1(2 2) ///model2(zip) var2(types_eng1CHI_min types_eng2CHI_min types_eng3CHI_min) /// indep2(w1_childage_ctr w2_childage_ctr w3_childage_ctr) order2(2 2) $====$ traj stata plugin ==== Jones BL Nagin DS, build: Oct 252021
32 observations read.
32 observations used in the trajectory model.

Maximum Likelihood Estimates Model: Censored Normal (cnorm)

| Group | Parameter | Estimate | Standard Error | T for H0: Parameter=0 | Prob > \|T| | 2 | Intercept <br> Linear <br> Quadratic |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Intercept | 10.06733 | 1.00768 | 9.991 | 0.0000 | Group membership |  |
|  | Linear | 0.24536 | 0.12020 | 2.041 | 0.0427 |  |  |
|  | Quadratic | -0.00010 | 0.00441 | -0.024 | 0.9813 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | (\%) |
| 2 | Intercept | 6.92870 | 1.19362 | 5.805 | 0.0000 | 2 | (\%) |
|  | Linear | 0.28604 | 0.14813 | 1.931 | 0.0551 |  |  |
|  | Quadratic | -0.00923 | 0.00523 | -1.765 | 0.0794 | $B I C=-463.41 \quad(\mathrm{~N}=176)$ |  |
|  | Sigma | 5.13664 | 0.40404 | 12.713 | 0.0000 | Entrop | $y=0.870$ |


| Estimate | Standard Error | T for H0: Parameter=0 | Prob > \|T| |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -0.82948 | 0.36582 | -2.267 | 0.0246 |
| 0.01970 | 0.03660 | 0.538 | 0.5911 |
| -0.00029 | 0.00124 | -0.236 | 0.8138 |
| 1.12374 | 0.15928 | 7.055 | 0.0000 |
| 0.00229 | 0.01541 | 0.149 | 0.8820 |
| 0.00082 | 0.00045 | 1.814 | 0.0713 |
| 60.04073 | 10.29238 | 5.834 | 0.0000 |
| 39.95927 | 10.29238 | 3.882 | 0.0001 |
| $B I C=-451.48$ | $(\mathrm{N}=32) \quad \mathrm{AIC}=$ | -441.22 ll= | -427. 22 |

## Family and teacher language use by group

|  | Group 1. Highincreasing Spanish use | Group 2. increasing English use | Comparing groups ( $t / \chi^{2}$, p-value) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Family language use patterns, M (SD) |  |  |  |
| Reported parent Spanish input (1-5) | 4.42 (.77) | 4.08 (.76) | $t(30)=1.25, p=.221$ |
| Average reported input across all family members at wave 1 | 4.28 (.65) | 3.94 (.58) | $t(30)=1.52, p=.138$ |
| Parent Spanish types/min at wave 1 | 23.28 (4.37) | 22.67 (6.40) | $t(30)=0.32, p=.748$ |
| Parent MLU at wave 1 | 3.68 (.68) | 3.25 (.61) | $t(30)=1.84, p=.076 \sim$ |
| Teacher language use in daycare and PK (\%) |  |  |  |
| Attended English-only childcare prior to Wave 1 | 36.84\% | 30.77\% | $\chi^{2}(1)=.13, p=.722$ |
| PK teacher used only Eng. in Wave 2 | 57.89\% | 46.15\% | $\chi^{2}(1)=0.43, p=.513$ |

## Parent and child characteristics by group

Child-initiated CS to Eng. at wave 1 . 02 (.03)

Group 1.
High-increasing
Spanish use
13.32 (4.32)
5.61 (6.83)
2.57 (1.45)
46.68 (7.99)
31.58\%
42.11\%
47.37\%
18.89 (9.04)
3.17 (3.67)

Group 2.
increasing English use ( $\dagger / \chi^{2}, p$-value)
10.08 (4.84)
13.77 (4.82)
2.5 (.97)
45.77 (8.02)
53.85\%
0.00\%
15.38\%
4.08 (4.50)
6.60 (5.60)
.17 (.09)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t(30)=1.98, p=.057 \sim \\
& t(30)=-3.71, p=.001 * * \\
& t(22)=0.14, p=.894
\end{aligned}
$$

Comparing groups

$$
t(30)=0.32, p=.753
$$

$$
\chi^{2}(1)=1.59, p=.208
$$

$$
\chi^{2}(1)=7.30, p=.007^{* *}
$$

$$
\chi^{2}(1)=3.50, p=.061 \sim
$$

$$
f(30)=-5.45, p<.001^{* * *}
$$

$$
t(26)=-1.96, p=0.060 \sim
$$

$$
t(30)=-6.58, p<.0001^{* * *}
$$

cale)
Child Characteristics, M (SD) or \%
Child age at wave 1
Child gender
Child born abroad
Oldest or only child
Spa. exp. vocab. raw at wave 1
Eng. exp. vocab. raw at wave 1

